ADS ASSIGNMENT-3

GROUP CONTRIBUTION STATEMENT:

All of us worked on data acquisition and data preparation. We devised and made all the data tables/figures together. We also worked on feature selection and did the final discussion together.

Theodore led the culmen length/depth by island discussion in the data exploration section. He also coded the support vector machine and discussed its performance. He also worked on the decision tree visualization and took care of comments and docstrings.

Monica led the discussion for culmen length and depth ratios in the data exploration section. She wrote up the feature selection discussions. She also led the logistic regression model, its visualization and discussion.

Angela worked on the body mass and flipper length discussion in the data exploration section. She also described the decision tree model and discussed its performance. She was also responsible for the final discussion write-up.

DATA AQUISITON:

import pandas as pd

import numpy as np

from matplotlib import pyplot as plt

penguins = pd.read\_csv("palmer\_penguins.csv")

penguins.head()

Exploratory Analysis

Data Preparation

penguins = penguins.drop(["studyName", "Sample Number", "Region", "Stage", "Individual ID", "Clutch Completion",

"Date Egg", "Delta 15 N (o/oo)", "Delta 13 C (o/oo)", "Comments"], axis = 1)

penguins["Species"] = penguins["Species"].str.split().str.get(0)

penguins = penguins[penguins["Sex"] != "."]

penguins = penguins.dropna(subset = ["Sex"])

penguins.head()

We decided to drop the following columns: studyName, Sample Number, Region, Stage, Individual ID, Clutch Completion, Date Egg, and Comments because they were not physiological traits that were going to be helpful in determining the species of the penguins. We decided not to include the Delta columns because the goal of this project is to be able to determine the penguins species without too much effort in obtaining this data.

Species Island Culmen Length (mm) Culmen Depth (mm) Flipper Length (mm) Body Mass (g) Sex

0 Adelie Torgersen 39.1 18.7 181.0 3750.0 MALE

1 Adelie Torgersen 39.5 17.4 186.0 3800.0 FEMALE

2 Adelie Torgersen 40.3 18.0 195.0 3250.0 FEMALE

4 Adelie Torgersen 36.7 19.3 193.0 3450.0 FEMALE

5 Adelie Torgersen 39.3 20.6 190.0 3650.0 MALE

Tables and Plots

First, we define a function called penguin\_summary\_table that will return a table of mean data values grouped by certain criteria. We can explore how the mean values vary by each group. The table outputs of this function will help us learn more about the penguin dataset.

def penguin\_summary\_table(group\_cols, value\_cols):

"""

Displays a summary table of the averages of value\_cols grouped by group\_cols.

Parameters

----------

group\_cols : list of strings, columns to be grouped

value\_cols : list of strings, columns to be analyzed

Return

----------

pandas.DataFrame

"""

return penguins.groupby(group\_cols)[value\_cols].mean().round(2)

Culmen Length and Culmen Depth, Grouped by Species and Sex

summary = penguin\_summary\_table(["Species","Sex"], ["Culmen Length (mm)", "Culmen Depth (mm)"])

summary

Culmen Length (mm) Culmen Depth (mm)

Species Sex

Adelie FEMALE 37.26 17.62

MALE 40.39 19.07

Chinstrap FEMALE 46.57 17.59


MALE 51.09 19.25

Gentoo FEMALE 45.56 14.24

MALE 49.47 15.72

Discussion of Summary Table

In general, Adelie has the smallest culmen length no matter the sex, and its length is most varied among the three species. Chinstrap has the longest culmen length when compared within each sex. However, it is important to note that Chinstrap and Gentoo culmen length do not differ much from each other.



In general, males are larger both in culmen length and culmen depth.

#Store species names (Adelie, Gentoo, Chinstrap)

species = penguins['Species'].unique()

#Create figure of 2 subplots, each of which share the same x and y axes

fig, ax = plt.subplots(1, 2, figsize = (10, 5), sharex = True, sharey = True)

#Loop through species

for penguin in species:

#Create a mask for male penguin

male\_mask = (penguins["Species"] == penguin) & (penguins["Sex"] == "MALE")

#Create a mask for female penguin

female\_mask = (penguins["Species"] == penguin) & (penguins["Sex"] == "FEMALE")

#Scatterplot of male Culmen Depth vs. Culmen Length

ax[0].scatter(penguins[male\_mask]["Culmen Length (mm)"],

penguins[male\_mask]["Culmen Depth (mm)"],

label = penguin,

alpha = 0.5)

#Scatterplot of female Culmen Depth vs. Culmen Length

ax[1].scatter(penguins[female\_mask]["Culmen Length (mm)"],

penguins[female\_mask]["Culmen Depth (mm)"],

label = penguin,

alpha = 0.5)

#Set titles, xlabels, and ylabel

ax[0].set(title = "Male",

xlabel = "Culmen Length (mm)",

ylabel = "Culmen Depth (mm)")

ax[1].set(title = "Female",


xlabel = "Culmen Length (mm)")

#Display legends and use tight\_layout

ax[0].legend(), ax[1].legend()

plt.tight\_layout()

Discussion of Scatter Plot:



This is a scatter plot visualization of the summary table above. Culmen length and depth seem to be great indicators of species, as different species are mostly grouped into separate clusters except for a few outliers. When the dataset was divided by sex, the scatterplot shows more separation between species, thus better classification.

Additionally, we were curious if something could be learned from the ratios between culmen length and culmen depth in penguin species. From the summary table above, we calculated the length:depth ratios grouped by species and sex.

#Store sex (MALE, FEMALE)

sex = penguins['Sex'].unique()

#Create column that displays penguin species as well as sex

penguin\_col = [penguin+", "+s for penguin in species for s in sex]

#Create column of Culmen Length to Culmen Depth ratios

ratio\_col = [summary.loc[penguin,s]["Culmen Length (mm)"]

/summary.loc[penguin,s]["Culmen Depth (mm)"] for penguin in species for s in sex]

#Create pandas DataFrame to hold previously made columns

len\_dep\_ratios = pd.DataFrame({"Penguin": penguin\_col,

"Length : Depth Ratios": ratio\_col})

#Display summary table

len\_dep\_ratios

Penguin Length : Depth Ratios

0 Adelie, MALE 2.117986

1 Adelie, FEMALE 2.114642

2 Chinstrap, MALE 2.654026

3 Chinstrap, FEMALE 2.647527

4 Gentoo, MALE 3.146947

5 Gentoo, FEMALE 3.199438

Discussion of Length:Depth Ratio

We can see that all penguin species have quite distinct length : depth ratios from each other. The ratios are also very similar between different sex of the same species. As a result, length : depth ratio could be a useful criteria for classification between species. In this case, grouping further by sex will not be helpful much.

Culmen Length and Culmen Depth, Grouped by Species and Island

#Create figure

fig, ax = plt.subplots(1, figsize = (10, 8))

#Set xlabel and ylabel

ax.set(xlabel = "Culmen Length (mm)",

ylabel = "Culmen Depth (mm)")

#Store island locations (Dream, Biscoe, Torgersen)

locations = set(penguins["Island"])

#Loop through species and locations

for penguin in species:

for loc in locations:

#Create subset of penguins data frame dependent on current penguin and loc

sub = penguins[(penguins["Species"] == penguin) & (penguins["Island"] == loc)]

#If there does not exist any penguin on loc, continue through next iteration

if sub.empty: continue

#Scatterplot of Culmen Depth vs. Culmen Length grouped by species and island

ax.scatter(sub["Culmen Length (mm)"],

sub["Culmen Depth (mm)"],

label = penguin + " on " + loc,

alpha = 0.5)


#Display legend

ax.legend()

<matplotlib.legend.Legend at 0x1e147c35a60>

Discussion of Scatter Plot

In the above figure, we again examine a scatter plot of Culmen Length (mm) vs. Culmen Depth (mm), but instead of grouping the data just by Species, we also group the data by Island. Similar to the previous figure, we see three clusters of data: Adelie penguins that have a high culmen depth but low culmen length, Gentoo penguins that have a low culmen depth but high culmen length, and Chinstrap penguins that have both a high culmen depth and culmen length. This figure, however, also groups the data by islands. From the figure, we can see that Gentoo penguins are only found on Biscoe, Chinstrap penguins are only found on Dream, and Adelie penguins are found on all three islands: Torgersen, Dream, and Biscoe. More importantly, we notice that Adelie penguins all share high culmen depth and low culmen length regardless of the island. Therefore, we can infer that the island has less of an impact on the species type than the culmen depth to culmen length ratios.



Body Mass, Grouped by Species and Sex

#Create figure of 2 subplots, each of which share the same x and y axes

fig, ax = plt.subplots(1, 2, figsize=(8, 4), sharex = True, sharey = True)

#Loop through species

for penguin in species:

#Create a mask for male penguin

male\_mask = (penguins["Species"] == penguin) & (penguins["Sex"] == "MALE")

#Create a mask for female penguin

female\_mask = (penguins["Species"] == penguin) & (penguins["Sex"] == "FEMALE")

#Histogram of male Body Mass

ax[0].hist(penguins[male\_mask]["Body Mass (g)"],

label = penguin,

alpha = 0.5)

#Histogram of female Body Mass

ax[1].hist(penguins[female\_mask]["Body Mass (g)"],

label = penguin,

alpha = 0.5)

#Set titles, xlabels, and ylabel

ax[0].set(title = "Male",

xlabel = "Body Mass (g)",

ylabel = "Density")

ax[1].set(title = "Female",

xlabel = "Body Mass (g)",)

#Display legends and use tight\_layout

ax[0].legend(), ax[1].legend()

plt.tight\_layout()

Flipper Length, Grouped by Species and Sex

#Create figure of 2 subplots, each of which share the same x and y axes

fig, ax = plt.subplots(1, 2, figsize = (8, 4), sharex = True, sharey = True)

#Loop through species

for penguin in species:

#Create a mask for male penguin

male\_mask = (penguins["Species"] == penguin) & (penguins["Sex"] == "MALE")

#Create a mask for female penguin

female\_mask = (penguins["Species"] == penguin) & (penguins["Sex"] == "FEMALE")

#Histogram of male Flipper Length

ax[0].hist(penguins[male\_mask]["Flipper Length (mm)"],

label = penguin,

alpha = 0.5)

#Histogram of female Flipper Length

ax[1].hist(penguins[female\_mask]["Flipper Length (mm)"],

label = penguin,

alpha = 0.5)

#Set titles, xlabels, and ylabel

ax[0].set(title = "Male",

xlabel = "Flipper Length (mm)",

ylabel = "Density")

ax[1].set(title = "Female",

xlabel = "Flipper Length (mm)",)


#Display legends and use tight\_layout

ax[0].legend(), ax[1].legend()

plt.tight\_layout()

DISCUSSION OF HISTOGRAM:

Body mass and flipper length are mostly positively correlated, with a larger body mass correlating with longer flipper lengths. For both, Gentoo penguins have much larger values, almost completely different from the other two species. For flipper length, there is almost no overlap in values (when looking at the histograms), allowing us to differentiate Gentoo from the other two species based on flipper length alone in most cases. Also, Adelie penguins are the only species with flipper lengths in the lower values, whereas it is more mixed for body mass.



For both body mass and flipper length, females of all three species have smaller values, thus if we consider body mass or flipper length as a column, we should also consider including sex to prevent more overlap in values between species. For instance, if we have a penguin that has a body mass of 4500 g, it could either be a Chinstrap or Adelie male or a Gentoo female. Thus, knowing the sex would allow us to make a more effective estimate of the species.

First, we define a function prep\_penguins\_data to prepare the data so that all string data values can be converted to integers readable by machine learning algorithms. We then divide the penguins dataset columns into X (predictor variables) and y (target variable).

from sklearn import preprocessing

def prep\_penguins\_data(data):

"""

Prepares penguins data frame for machine learning models.

Parameters

----------

data : pandas.DataFrame to be prepared

Return

----------

(X, y) : pandas.DataFrame without Species column, pandas.Series of Species column

"""

#Copy data frame

df = data.copy()

#Create LabelEncoder

le = preprocessing.LabelEncoder()

#Encode Sex column in data frame

df["Sex"] = le.fit\_transform(df["Sex"])

#Encode Island column in data frame

df["Island"] = le.fit\_transform(df["Island"])

df["Species"] = le.fit\_transform(df["Species"])

#Create X, where X is the data frame without the Species column

#X serves as the predictor variables

X = df.drop(["Species"], axis = 1)

#Create y, where y just contains the Species column

#y serves as the target variable

y = df["Species"]

return X,y

Train and Test Split

from sklearn.model\_selection import train\_test\_split

#Split penguins data into training data and testing data with a 70%/30% ratio, respectively

train, test = train\_test\_split(penguins, test\_size = 0.3)

#Create predictor variables and target variable from training data using data preparation function

X\_train, y\_train = prep\_penguins\_data(train)

Feature Selection

We will first use logistic regression to find out which columns of a dataset are the most relevant for predicting our target variable. This process is called feature selection. A logistic regression model will be used to fit multiple combinations of 3 columns from the training dataset (one qualitative variable and 2 quantitative variables), and the relevancy of each combination is evaluated based on its cross validation score (cv score).

Our task entails finding a small number of variables that is predictive of penguin species, so this model is particularly useful in choosing the best combination of variables with the best accuracy, or the highest cv score.

We wrote a function to automatically calculate cv scores from a column combination.

from sklearn.linear\_model import LogisticRegression

from sklearn.model\_selection import cross\_val\_score

def check\_column\_scores(cols):

"""

Trains and evaluates Logistic Regression via crossvalidation on the columns

of the dataset with select indeces

Parameters

----------

cols : list of strings, columns on which to be trained

Return

----------

float : average of 5 cross validation scores

"""

#Logistic Regression Model

LR = LogisticRegression(max\_iter = 5000)

return cross\_val\_score(LR, X\_train[cols], y\_train, cv = 5).mean()

Based on our findings from the exploratory analysis, we came up with different variable combinations that could be effective in predicting penguin species. Their cv scores are then calculated using the function above.

#Qualitative features

quals = ["Island", "Sex"]

#Quantitative features

quants = ["Culmen Length (mm)", "Culmen Depth (mm)",

"Flipper Length (mm)", "Body Mass (g)"]

#Create list of feature combinations

#where each combination contains 1 qualitative feature and 2 quantitative features

combos = [[qual]+[quant1]+[quant2] for qual in quals for quant1 in quants for quant2 in quants if quant1 != quant2]

#Create empty list to store cross validation scores

cv\_scores = []

#Set the best cross validation score to be negative infinity

best\_cv\_score = -np.inf

#Loop through every column combination

for combo in combos:

#Apply check\_column\_scores function on combo and add the score to cv\_scores

score = check\_column\_scores(combo)

cv\_scores.append(score)

#If the most recent score is better than best\_cv\_score,

#reassign best\_cv\_score and assign combo to best\_combo

if cv\_scores[-1] > best\_cv\_score:

best\_cv\_score = cv\_scores[-1]

best\_combo = combo

#Print the best column combination and its cross validation score

print("Best combo: " + str(best\_combo))

print("which produces CV score: " + str(best\_cv\_score))

Best combo: ['Sex', 'Culmen Length (mm)', 'Culmen Depth (mm)']

which produces CV score: 0.9871415356151712

From the training results, we see that ['Sex', 'Culmen Length (mm)', 'Culmen Depth (mm)'] is the best combination of variables to predict our target variable, with the highest cv score of 0.987.

Since we found out that the ratio of culmen length and depths have such distinct values between species, it makes sense that they are two of the best quantitative predictive features. One would think island would be a better qualitative feature, due to its ability to classify a specie solely based on its location (Adelie on Torgersen). However, sex could be a better option for unseen data, considering that its island location can be changed easily, while sex is an innate characteristic.

We narrowed down our training data so that only the most relevant combinations stored in best\_combo will be used in the upcoming models.

#Modify X\_train to only include the best predictor variables chosen from feature selection

X\_train = X\_train[best\_combo]

Model 1: Multinomial Logistic Regression

Our first model is multinomial logistic regression, where we will vary the regularization parameter c to improve the performance of the model on unseen test data. Logistic regression is mainly used for classification problems, and in our task, the model will help us classify penguins into their correct species. It uses multiple regression functions that model the probabilities of diffferent categories (for example, the probability that the penguin is an Adelie species).

Regularization improves the model's performance for generalizing what it learned from the training data to new test data. This process prevents the model from overfitting, from paying too much attention to every irregularity in our penguins training data. Our goal is to find the best regularization parameter that will neither overfit nor underfit our data.

First, we set up a range of c parameters that will be used against the logistic regression model. CV scores will be used to determine how well the model predicts our penguins data.

#Setting a range of c parameters to run the model

C\_pool=np.linspace(.1,1,20)

best\_score=-np.inf

scores = []

#Checking cv scores for every c parameter

for c in C\_pool:

LR1=LogisticRegression(C=c,max\_iter=5000)

scores.append(cross\_val\_score(LR1,X\_train,y\_train,cv=5).mean())

#score=cross\_val\_score(LR1,X\_train,y\_train,cv=5).mean()

if scores[-1]>best\_score:

best\_score=scores[-1]

best\_c=c

#Print the best c parameter for the model and its cv score

print("best\_c=",np.round(best\_c,3)," Cross validation score=",best\_score)

best\_c= 1.0 Cross validation score= 0.9871415356151712

The best c parameter is 1.0, which is interestingly the default c parameter used in logistic regression. Its cv score is 0.987, meaning that the model is predicted to classify 98.7% of new penguins correctly into their species.

The following will fit our training data to the logistic regression model with our best c parameter. We then test our model on the test data to find out if the model will still work well with unseen data. A big difference in train and test scores indicate overfitting in the model.

#Fit our training data to the best logistic regression model

LR1 = LogisticRegression(C=best\_c, max\_iter=5000)

LR1.fit(X\_train,y\_train)

LogisticRegression(max\_iter=5000)

#Prepping test data

X\_test, y\_test = prep\_penguins\_data(test)

X\_test = X\_test[best\_combo]

#Scoring the model on test data

LR1.score(X\_test,y\_test)

0.98

#Create figure

fig, ax = plt.subplots(1)

#Scatterplot of cross validation scores vs. tree depth

ax.scatter(C\_pool, scores)

#Set title

ax.set(title = "Best C parameter: " + str(best\_c))

[Text(0.5, 1.0, 'Best C parameter: 1.0')]

The test score for variable combinations ['Sex', 'Culmen Length (mm)', 'Culmen Depth (mm)'] came out to 0.98, which means that 98% of penguin test data had their species predicted correctly using the Logistic Regression model with the best c parameter (c=1.0). This test score is not much different from our training cv score (0.987), showing that there is no overfitting.

Model 2: Decision Tree Classifier

We chose to do a decision tree classifier as our second model. For this one we had to determine what was the best depth for our model, i.e. how many decisions could be made. To do this, we tested 30 different depths and picked out the best one to be our model. The end product was a decision tree that could predict a penguin's species from a few yes-or-no questions about the penguin (e.g. is the penguin's culmen length less than 42.3 mm).

We chose to limit the decision tree to decisions made based on a penguin's culmen length and depth and its sex, as before. This let us test further how effective these three characteristics of a penguin were at predicting a penguin's species.

from sklearn import tree

#Cross validate on depths ranging from 1 to 30

N = 30

#Create np.array() to store cross validation scores

scores = np.zeros(N)

#Set the best cross validation score to be negative infinity

best\_score = -np.inf

#Loop through depths from 1-30

for d in range(1, N + 1):

#Decision Tree model with max\_depth = d

T = tree.DecisionTreeClassifier(max\_depth = d)

#Store the average of 5 cross validation scores in scores

scores[d-1] = cross\_val\_score(T, X\_train, y\_train, cv = 5).mean()

#If the most recent score is better than best\_cv\_score,

#reassign best\_score and assign d to best\_depth

if scores[d-1] > best\_score:

best\_score = scores[d-1]

best\_depth = d

best\_depth, best\_score

(9, 0.9913968547641072)

#Create figure

fig, ax = plt.subplots(1)

#Scatterplot of cross validation scores vs. tree depth

ax.scatter(np.arange(1, N + 1), scores)

#Set title

ax.set(title = "Best Depth: " + str(best\_depth))

[Text(0.5, 1.0, 'Best Depth: 9')]

The above code and plot tests and depicts the cross validation scores of different decision tree models with different depths. The greater the depth, the more decisions the decision tree makes. From our experiment, we can see that the depth that resulted in the best score was 9, getting a score of over 0.99. However it looks like all depths greater than 3 produce similar CV scores.

Now we'll fit our model using the best depth to the training set.

#Decision Tree model with max\_depth = best\_depth chosen from cross validation

T = tree.DecisionTreeClassifier(max\_depth = best\_depth)

T.fit(X\_train, y\_train)

T.score(X\_train, y\_train)

1.0

Decision Tree Visualization

from sklearn.tree import export\_graphviz

#Export Decision Tree model T as dot file

export\_graphviz(T, out\_file='tree.dot',

feature\_names = X\_train.columns,

class\_names = list(species),

rounded = True, proportion = False,

precision = 2, filled = True)

#Convert dot file to png using system command (requires Graphviz)

from subprocess import call

call(['dot', '-Tpng', 'tree.dot', '-o', 'tree.png', '-Gdpi=600'])

#Display image

from IPython.display import Image

Image(filename = 'tree.png')

Our decision tree with max depth 9 is depicted above. It begins by determining whether the penguin has a culmen length less than or equal to 42.3 mm. If so, the tree then determines whether or not the penguin's culmen depth is less than or equal to 14.6 mm. Then if it is, the penguin is classified as Gentoo. If it isn't, then it is classified as Adelie. A similar process is used if the penguin's culmen length is greater than 42.3 mm. It is only on this side of the decision tree that sex is factored in. The purple boxes represent penguins that are either likely (light purple) or almost certainly (dark purple) Gentoo penguins. The same goes for orange boxes and Adelie penguins and green boxes and Chinstrap penguins.

#Evaluate performance of Decision Tree T on test data

T.score(X\_test, y\_test)

0.95

Our test score came out to 0.95, which is a step down from the cross-validation score of over 0.99, but not by much.

Model 3: Support Vector Machine

Our third and final machine learning model is the support vector machine. The goal of this model is to identify the most optimal hyperplane that can distinguish between our data points. In our case, since we are analyzing on three penguin features (Sex, Culmen Length.

In the following code, we employ the support vector machine model from the sklearn module. Similar to how we cross-validated the best depth for a Decision Tree, we cross-validate the best gamma for our support vector machine. In simple terms, the gamma hyperparameter is used for non-linear hyperplanes. The higher the gamma value, the more the model tries to exactly fit the training data set. Therefore, too high of a gamma value leads to overfitting of the training data, and thus leads to poor performance on the test data. In our code, we record 100 gamma values ranging from 0.05 to 5, and we also record the cross-validation scores produced from these gamma values.

from sklearn import svm

#Create np.array() of 100 points between 0.05 to 5, representing different gamma values

gammas = np.linspace(0.05, 5, 100)

#Create empty list to store cross validation scores

scores = []

#Set the best cross validation score to be negative infinity

best\_score = -np.inf

#Loop through gammas

for g in gammas:

#Support Vector Machine Model with gamma = g

SVM = svm.SVC(gamma = g)

#Store the average of 5 cross validation scores in scores

scores.append(cross\_val\_score(SVM, X\_train, y\_train, cv = 5).mean())

#If the most recent score is better than best\_score,

#reassign best\_score and assign g to best\_gamma

if scores[-1] > best\_score:

best\_score = scores[-1]

best\_gamma = g

best\_gamma, best\_score

(0.2, 0.974190564292322)

From our cross-validation, we can see that the best gamma value is 0.2 since it produced the best cross validation score of 0.974.

Next, we plot the cross-validation scores against the gamma values.

#Create figure

fig, ax = plt.subplots(1)

#Line plot of cross validation scores vs. gamma values

ax.plot(gammas, scores)

#Set title, ylabel, and xlabel

ax.set(title = "Best Gamma: " + str(best\_gamma),

xlabel = "Gamma",

ylabel = "Cross Validation Score")

[Text(0.5, 1.0, 'Best Gamma: 0.2'),

Text(0.5, 0, 'Gamma'),

Text(0, 0.5, 'Cross Validation Score')]

From the figure, we can see that after the best cross-validation score peaked at gamma value 0.2, the remaining cross-validation scores get worse as the gamma values increase. This trend agrees with the discussion earlier about how too high of a gamma value leads to overfitting of the data.

Now, after the cross-validation, we create our support vector machine model with the best gamma value of 0.2. Then, we fit and score the model on our training data.

#Support Vector Machine with gamma = best\_gamma chosen from cross validation

SVM = svm.SVC(gamma = best\_gamma)

SVM.fit(X\_train, y\_train)

SVM.score(X\_train, y\_train)

1.0

With a score of 1.0, the support vector machine model did a perfect job of classifying the training data. We now evaluate our support vector machine model on our unseen testing data.

##Evaluate performance of Support Vector Machine SVM on test data

SVM.score(X\_test, y\_test)


0.98

With a score of 0.98, 98% of the penguin test data had their species predicted correctly using the support vector machine model.

Discussion

Model 1: Multinomial Logistic Regression

The multinomial logistic regression model was able to classify 98.7% of penguins training data correctly, and with the best c parameter, the score only dropped to 98% when tested on unseen data. These numbers show that there was no overfitting of data in the classification process. The model scoring high on the test data makes sense, since feature selection was also done through a similar logistic regression modelling. With the best variables already chosen by the same model, the combination of feature selection and tuning of hyperparameters gave out a highly promising result. The best regularization c parameter is 1.0, which turned out to be the default c parameter. This explains why the cv score of the best combo in the feature selection process (conducted with default c parameter) is the same as the cv score in logistic regression modelling (both have cv = 0.987).



Model 2: Decision Tree

We decided to use the same columns we found were highly indicative of penguin species from the Logisitic Regression model. Then, we tested out max depths up to 30. While the cross validation scores for decision trees of max depths of four or more all were high (which was promising for our choice of columns), the highest was nine. The ultimate score for this model, the Decision Tree Classifier with a max depth of nine on the columns "Culmen Length (mm)", "Culmen Depth (mm)", and "Sex", was 0.95, which is very promising. However since the cross validation scores for decision trees with different max depths greater than three were so high, nine being the ideal max depth may be up to randomization. Also a depth of nine means a rather complicated decision tree, especially considering a model with a max depth of four is likely to give a similar performance (based on its cross-validation score). Overall this model still did slightly worse than Multinomial Logistic Regression, and is less favorable due to its complexity.

Overall, based on our findings, the Multinomial Logistic Regression model is the best model using

penguin features: Sex, Culmen Depth, and Culmen Length.

Decision Tree Visualization

from sklearn.tree import export\_graphviz

#Export Decision Tree model T as dot file


export\_graphviz(T, out\_file='tree.dot',

feature\_names = X\_train.columns,

class\_names = list(species),

rounded = True, proportion = False,

precision = 2, filled = True)



#Convert dot file to png using system command (requires Graphviz)


from subprocess import call

call(['dot', '-Tpng', 'tree.dot', '-o', 'tree.png', '-Gdpi=600'])

#Display image

from IPython.display import Image

Image(filename = 'tree.png')



IMPROVEMENTS:

Instead of splitting the training and test data with a 70%/30% ratio, we could instead split the data with a 80%/20% ratio. In this way, more training data could lead to better model accuracy.

As with all models, there is room for error. Though our mod el turned out to be quite accurate in predicting a penguin's species, it isn't perfect and there will be some penguins that slip through the cracks. It is unlikely these errors will have a very large effect, however when being applied to greater and greater volumes of penguin data, the number of penguins falsely classified will also grow, perhaps beyond acceptable limits.

This model may also be less successful as time goes on, with changes in each of the penguin species populations. Even small fluctuations in the population's characteristics from generation to generation may affect the usefulness of this model, especially since the biggest factor our model takes account of is culmen length and depth, both of which are measured in millimetres.